

The inverse inertia problem for the complements of partial k -trees

Hein van der Holst
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 Georgia State University
 Atlanta, GA 30303, USA
 E-mail: hvanderholst@gsu.edu

October 29, 2012

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an infinite field with characteristic different from two. For a graph $G = (V, E)$ with $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $S(G; \mathbb{F})$ be the set of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A = [a_{i,j}]$ over $\mathbb{F}$ with $a_{i,j} \neq 0$, $i \neq j$ if and only if $ij \in E$. We show that if G is the complement of a partial k -tree and $m \geq k + 2$, then for all nonsingular symmetric $m \times m$ matrices K over $\mathbb{F}$, there exists an $m \times n$ matrix U such that $U^T K U \in S(G; \mathbb{F})$. As a corollary we obtain that, if $k + 2 \leq m \leq n$ and G is the complement of a partial k -tree, then for any two nonnegative integers p and q with $p + q = m$, there exists a matrix in $S(G; \mathbb{R})$ with p positive and q negative eigenvalues.

keywords: graph, inertia, symmetric, graph complement, treewidth
 MSC: 05C05, 15A03

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field. For a graph $G = (V, E)$ with $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $S(G; \mathbb{F})$ be the set of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A = [a_{i,j}]$ over $\mathbb{F}$ with $a_{i,j} \neq 0$, $i \neq j$ if and only if $ij \in E$. We write $S(G)$ for $S(G; \mathbb{R})$. Consider the following problem for a given graph G : For which symmetric matrices A over $\mathbb{F}$ does there exist a matrix U such that $U^T A U \in S(G; \mathbb{F})$? This problem includes the inverse inertia problem for graphs. The inverse inertia problem of graphs has been introduced and studied by Barrett, Hall, and Loewy [1], and asks for which pairs (p, q) of nonnegative integers, there exists a matrix $A \in S(G)$ with p positive and q negative eigenvalues.

The inverse inertia problem of graphs includes the problems of determining the minimum rank and minimum semidefinite rank of graphs.

The *minimum rank* of G over a field $\mathbb{F}$, denoted $\text{mr}(G; \mathbb{F})$, is defined as

$$\text{mr}(G; \mathbb{F}) = \min\{\text{rank}(A) \mid A \in S(G; \mathbb{F})\},$$

and the *minimum semidefinite rank* of G , denoted $\text{mr}_+(G)$, is defined as

$$\text{mr}_+(G) = \min\{\text{rank}(A) \mid A \in S(G), A \text{ is positive semidefinite}\}.$$

We write $\text{mr}(G)$ for $\text{mr}(G; \mathbb{R})$. Clearly, $\text{mr}(G) \leq \text{mr}_+(G)$. For many classes of graphs a combinatorial characterization of the minimum rank has been established. For example, Johnson and Leal Duarte [10] showed that the minimum rank of a tree equals the minimum number of disjoint paths needed to cover all vertices of the tree. Barrett, Loewy, and van der Holst [2, 3] gave for any field $\mathbb{F}$ a combinatorial characterization of the class of graphs G with $\text{mr}(G; \mathbb{F}) \leq 2$. Also for the class of complement of trees, the minimum rank has been determined [8]. Hogben [9] determined the minimum rank of the complements of 2-trees. Sinkovic and van der Holst [11] showed that the minimum semidefinite rank of the complement of a partial k -trees is at most $k + 2$ (see below the definition of partial k -tree). See Hogben and Fallat [7] for a survey on the minimum rank problem.

Before stating the main result in this paper, we need to introduce some notions. If $G = (V, E)$ is a graph, the complement of G is $\overline{G} = (V, \overline{E})$, where $\overline{E} = \{vw \mid v, w \in V, v \neq w \text{ and } vw \notin E\}$. If $S \subseteq V$, then the induced subgraph of G induced by S is the subgraph of G with vertex set S and edge set $\{ij \in E \mid i, j \in S\}$. A k -tree is defined recursively as follows.

1. A complete graph with $k + 1$ vertices is a k -tree.
2. If $G = (V, E)$ is a k -tree and $v_1, \dots, v_k$ form a clique in G with k vertices, then $G' = (V \cup \{v\}, E \cup \{v_i v \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\})$, with v a new vertex, is a k -tree.

A *partial k -tree* is a subgraph of a k -tree. A graph has tree-width $\leq k$ if it is a partial k -tree. We refer to Bodlaender [4] for a survey on tree-width and to Diestel [6] for notation and terminology used in graph theory.

In this paper we show that if G is the complement of a partial k -tree and K is a nonsingular symmetric $m \times m$ matrix K over an infinite field $\mathbb{F}$ with characteristic unequal to two, and $m \geq k + 2$, then there exists a matrix U such that $U^T K U \in S(G; \mathbb{F})$. Furthermore, if G has n vertices, then $\text{rank}(U) = \min(m, n)$. Our result extends the result of Sinkovic and van der Holst [11].

2 Symmetric bilinear forms

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with characteristic different from 2. A *symmetric bilinear form* on V is a map $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ satisfying

1. $B(u, v) = B(v, u)$ for all $u, v \in V$,
2. $B(u + v, w) = B(u, w) + B(v, w)$ for all $u, v, w \in V$,
3. $B(\lambda u, v) = \lambda B(u, v)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and all $u, v \in V$.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis for V . Define the matrix $K = [k_{i,j}]$ by $k_{i,j} = B(x_i, x_j)$. Denoting the coordinate vector of a vector x relative to $\mathcal{E}$ by $[x]_{\mathcal{E}}$, we have $B(u, v) = [u]_{\mathcal{E}}^T K [v]_{\mathcal{E}}$. Conversely, if K is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}$, then the map $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ defined by $B(u, v) = [u]_{\mathcal{E}}^T K [v]_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a symmetric bilinear form on V .

A *B-orthogonal representation* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ in V is a mapping $v \rightarrow \vec{v}$, $v \in V$, such that for distinct vertices v and w , $B(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = 0$ if and only if v and w are non-adjacent. In case B is the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^k$, it is easy to verify that a graph G has a B -orthogonal representation if and only if $\text{mr}_+(G) \leq k$.

A symmetric bilinear form B on V is *nondegenerate* if $B(v, w) = 0$ for all $w \in V$ implies that $v = 0$. If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a set of vectors of V , then we say that $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is *nondegenerate* if

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} B(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & B(x_1, x_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B(x_n, x_1) & \cdots & B(x_n, x_n) \end{bmatrix} \neq 0.$$

If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis for V , then B is nondegenerate if and only if $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is nondegenerate. If W is a subspace of V , we say that W is nondegenerate if the restriction of B to W is nondegenerate.

Let B be a symmetric bilinear form on V . If W is a subspace of V , we define the *orthogonal complement* of W by

$$W^\perp = \{x \in V \mid B(x, y) = 0 \ \forall y \in W\}.$$

A vector $v \in V$ is called *anisotropic* if $B(v, v) \neq 0$ and *isotropic* if $v \neq 0$ and $B(v, v) = 0$.

The following three lemmas will be used in the proof of the main theorem. We skip the proofs.

Lemma 1. *If B is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on V , then for any linear subspace W of V ,*

$$\dim W + \dim W^\perp = \dim V,$$

and

$$(W^\perp)^\perp = W.$$

Lemma 2. *Let B be a symmetric bilinear form on V . If W is a nondegenerate subspace W of V , then $V = W \oplus W^\perp$.*

Lemma 3. *Let B be a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on V , and let W be a subspace of V . Then W is nondegenerate if and only if $W^\perp$ is nondegenerate.*

The next lemma allows us to reduce the number of cases in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 4. *Let B be a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on V . Let K, L be linear subspaces of V with $\dim K = \dim L$. Then $\dim(L \cap K^\perp) = \dim(L^\perp \cap K)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\dim V = \dim L + \dim K^\perp = \dim(L \cap K^\perp) + \dim(L + K^\perp),$$

and $(L^\perp \cap K)^\perp = L + K^\perp$. Hence $\dim(L \cap K^\perp) = \dim(L^\perp \cap K)$. $\square$

3 The proof of the main theorem

In the proof of our main theorem, we need a generic position argument. For this, we will use Lemma 6. In the proof of Lemma 6, we will use the following lemma; see [5] for a proof of this lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a nonzero polynomial over an infinite field $\mathbb{F}$. Then there exist elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$.*

If L is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}^n$ and $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a polynomial, then we say that $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is *nonzero on L* if there exists a vector $a \in L$ such that $P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$.

Lemma 6. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an infinite field and L a subspace of $\mathbb{F}^n$. If the polynomials $P_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, P_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are nonzero on L , then there exists a vector $a \in L$ such that $P_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

Proof. Let $r = \dim(L)$ and B an $n \times r$ matrix with full column rank such that the column space of B is equal to L . Since each $P_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is nonzero on L , there exists a vector $u \in \mathbb{F}^r$ such that $P_i(Bu)$ is nonzero. Define $Q_i(y_1, \dots, y_r) = P_i(Bu)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $Q_i(y_1, \dots, y_r)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, are nonzero polynomials. Let $Q(y_1, \dots, y_r) = \prod_{i=1}^k Q_i(y_1, \dots, y_r)$. Then, by Lemma 5, there exists a vector $c \in \mathbb{F}^r$ such that $Q(c) \neq 0$. Let $a = Bc$. Then $a \in L$ and $P_i(a) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. $\square$

We have now come to our main theorem.

Theorem 7. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an infinite field and let k and m be positive integers with $k+2 \leq m$. If $G = (V, E)$ is a partial k -tree, then for any nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form B on $\mathbb{F}^m$, there is a mapping $v \rightarrow \vec{v}$, $v \in V$, into $\mathbb{F}^m$ such that*

1. $B(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = 0$ if and only if $v \neq w$ and v is adjacent to w .
2. $\text{span}(\{\vec{v} \mid v \in V(G)\})$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}^m$ with dimension $\min(m, |V(G)|)$.

Proof. In the proof, for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ we abbreviate $\text{span}(\{\vec{v} \mid v \in S\})$ by $\text{span}(S)$. We prove a stronger statement: for every k -tree H and every spanning subgraph G of H , there is a mapping $v \rightarrow \vec{v}$, $v \in V(H)$, into $\mathbb{F}^m$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) for all vertices v, w of G , $B(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = 0$ if and only if $v \neq w$ and v is adjacent to w in G .
- (2) For every clique C of H , $\text{span}(C)$ is nondegenerate with dimension $|C|$.
- (3) For every pair of k -cliques C, D of H , $\text{span}(C)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D)$ has dimension at most one.
- (4) For every k -clique C of H and vertex v of H with $v \notin C$, $\vec{v} \notin \text{span}(C)$.
- (5) $\text{span}(V(H))$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}^m$ with dimension $\min(m, |V(H)|)$.

Notice that from Lemma 4 it follows that Condition 3 is a condition on unordered pairs C, D only. The proof is by induction on the number of vertices in H . The basis of the induction is where $H = K_{k+1}$. It is easily verified that in this case there exists a mapping $v \rightarrow \vec{v}$, $v \in V(H)$, that satisfies the Conditions 1 - 5.

Now assume that the theorem is true for all k -trees H with at most n vertices and all subgraphs G of H . Let H' be a k -tree with $n+1$ vertices and let G' be a subgraph of H' . Let z be a vertex of degree k in H' and let $Q = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ be the set of vertices in H' adjacent to z . For $i = 1, \dots, k$, define $Q_i = (Q \setminus \{v_i\}) \cup \{z\}$.

By induction there exists a mapping $v \rightarrow \vec{v}$, $v \in V(H)$, into $\mathbb{F}^m$ that satisfies the Conditions 1 - 5 for $H' \setminus \{z\}$ and $G' \setminus \{z\}$. We will show that we can extend this mapping to a mapping $v \rightarrow \vec{v}$, $v \in V(H')$, such that the Conditions 1 - 5 hold for H' and G' . For this we need to assign to vertex z a vector $\vec{z} \in L := \text{span}(N_{G'}(z))^\perp$ such that the following hold:

- (a) For each clique C of H' containing z , $\text{span}(C)$ is nondegenerate with dimension $|C|$.
- (b) For each Q_i and each k -clique D of H , $\text{span}(Q_i)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D)$ has dimension at most one.
- (c) For each Q_i and Q_j , $\text{span}(Q_i)^\perp \cap \text{span}(Q_j)$ has dimension at most one.
- (d) For each k -clique C of H , $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}(C)$.
- (e) For each Q_i and vertex $w \notin Q_i$, $\vec{w} \notin \text{span}(Q_i)$.
- (f) If $|V(H)| < m$, then $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}(V(H))$.

Clearly $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \subseteq L$. Since $\text{span}(Q)$ has dimension k , $\vec{v}_i \notin \text{span}(N_{G'}(z))$ if v_i is nonadjacent to z . Hence $L \not\subseteq \text{span}(v_i)^\perp$ if v_i is nonadjacent to z . For each vertex w of G with $w \notin Q$, $\vec{w} \notin \text{span}(N_{G'}(z))$ for otherwise $\vec{w} \in \text{span}(Q)$, contradicting Condition 4. Hence for each vertex w of G with $w \notin Q$, $L \not\subseteq \text{span}(w)^\perp$. Hence there exists a nonzero polynomial $P^1(x)$ such that if $P^1(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}(w)^\perp$ for all $w \notin N_{G'}(z)$, $w \neq z$.

Next we show that

- (1) For each clique C of H' containing z , there exists a nonzero polynomial $P_C^2(x)$ on L such that if $P_C^2(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\text{span}(C)$ is a nondegenerate subspace of $\mathbb{F}^m$ with dimension $|C|$.

Let $C = \{w_1, \dots, w_t, z\}$ be a clique of H' containing z . Define

$$P_C^2(x) = \det \begin{bmatrix} B(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_1) & \cdots & B(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_t) & B(\vec{w}_1, x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B(\vec{w}_t, \vec{w}_1) & \cdots & B(\vec{w}_t, \vec{w}_t) & B(\vec{w}_t, x) \\ B(x, \vec{w}_1) & \cdots & B(x, \vec{w}_t) & B(x, x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that $P_C^2(x)$ is a polynomial in the components of the vector x . The subspace $\text{span}(C)$ is nondegenerate if and only if $P_C^2(\vec{z}) \neq 0$. To see that $P_C^2(x)$ is nonzero polynomial on L , first notice that $\text{span}(Q)^\perp$ is nondegenerate as $\text{span}(Q)$

is nondegenerate. Hence there exists an anisotropic vector $u \in \text{span}(Q)^\perp$. Then $B(\vec{w}_i, u) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$ and $B(u, u) \neq 0$. Thus

$$P_C^2(u) = \det \begin{bmatrix} B(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_1) & \cdots & B(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_t) & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B(\vec{w}_t, \vec{w}_1) & \cdots & B(\vec{w}_t, \vec{w}_t) & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & B(u, u) \end{bmatrix},$$

which is nonzero on $\text{span}(Q)^\perp$ because $\text{span}(C \setminus \{z\})$ is nondegenerate. Hence $P_C^2(x)$ is a nonzero polynomial on $\text{span}(Q)^\perp$. As $\text{span}(Q)^\perp$ is a subspace of L , $P_C^2(x)$ is a nonzero polynomial on L .

Next we show that:

For each Q_i and each k -clique D of H , there exists a nonzero polynomial $P_{Q_i, D}^3(x)$ such that if $P_{Q_i, D}^3(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\text{span}(Q_i)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D)$ has dimension at most one.

Notice that, by Condition 3, $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D)$ has dimension at most one. Suppose first $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D)$ contains a nonzero vector h . Then $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \not\subseteq \text{span}(h)^\perp$, for otherwise $h \in \text{span}(Q)$, and because $h \in \text{span}(Q)^\perp$, $\text{span}(Q)$ would be degenerate, contradicting Condition 2. Thus $L \not\subseteq \text{span}(h)^\perp$. Let $P_{Q_i, D}^3(x)$ be a nonzero polynomial on L such that if $P_{Q_i, D}^3(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}(h)^\perp$. If $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}(h)^\perp$, then $h \notin \text{span}(\vec{z})^\perp$, and hence $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D) \cap \text{span}(\vec{z})^\perp = \{0\}$, because the only vectors which $\text{span}(Q)^\perp$ and $\text{span}(D)$ have in common are scalar multiples of h . Suppose next that $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D)$ contains no nonzero vectors. Then clearly $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D) \cap \text{span}(\vec{z})^\perp = \{0\}$. Hence, if $P_{Q_i, D}^3(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D) \cap \text{span}(\vec{z})^\perp = \{0\}$. From $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D) \cap \text{span}(\vec{z})^\perp = \{0\}$ it follows that $\text{span}(Q_i)^\perp \cap \text{span}(D)$ has dimension at most one.

Next we show that

(3) If $P_{Q \cup \{z\}}^2(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then for each Q_i and Q_j , $\text{span}(Q_i)^\perp \cap \text{span}(Q_j)$ has dimension at most one.

If $P_{Q \cup \{z\}}^2(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\text{span}(Q \cup \{z\})$ is nondegenerate, and hence $\text{span}(Q \cup \{z\})^\perp \cap \text{span}(Q \cup \{z\}) = \{0\}$. From this it follows that $\text{span}(Q \cup \{z\})^\perp \cap \text{span}(Q_j) = \{0\}$, and hence $\text{span}(Q_i)^\perp \cap \text{span}(Q_j)$ has dimension at most one.

Next we show that:

For each k -clique C of H , there exists a nonzero polynomial $P_C^4(x)$ such that if $P_C^4(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}(C)$.

To see this, notice that, by Condition 3, $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}(C)$ is a proper subspace of $\text{span}(Q)^\perp$, and so $L \cap \text{span}(C)$ is a proper subspace of L . Hence there exists a nonzero polynomial $P_C^4(x)$ such that $P_C^4(\vec{z}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}(C)$.

We next show that:

For each Q_i and vertex $w \notin Q_i$, there exists a nonzero polynomial $P_{Q_i, w}^4(x)$ such that if $P_{Q_i, w}^4(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\vec{w} \notin \text{span}(Q_i)$.

First we show that, under the condition that $P_C^4(\vec{z}) \neq 0$ for all k -cliques C of H , $\vec{w} \notin \text{span}(Q_i)$ is equivalent to:

$$\vec{z} \notin \text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\}).$$

To see this, suppose $\vec{z} \in \text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$. Then there are scalars $a_j, j \neq i$, and b such that $\vec{z} = \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \vec{v}_j + b \vec{w}$. If $b = 0$, then $\vec{z} \in \text{span}(Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \subseteq \text{span}(Q)$. However, $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}(C)$ for all k -cliques C of H , so $b \neq 0$. This implies that $\vec{w} \in \text{span}(Q_i)$. For the converse implication, let $\vec{w} \in \text{span}(Q_i)$. Then there are scalars $a_j, j \neq i$, and b such that $\vec{w} = \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \vec{v}_j + b \vec{z}$. If $b = 0$, then $\vec{w} \in \text{span}(Q_i \setminus \{z\})$. If $w \neq v_i$, then $\vec{w} \in \text{span}(Q)$, which contradicts Condition 4. If $w = v_i$, then $\dim(\text{span}(Q)) < k$, which contradicts Condition 2 for H . Hence $b \neq 0$, and so $z \in \text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$.

To show that there exists a nonzero polynomial $P_{Q_i, w}^4(x)$ such that if $P_{Q_i, w}^4(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\vec{w} \notin \text{span}(Q_i)$, we need to show that $L \cap \text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$ is a proper subspace of L . This follows from

(6) $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$ has dimension at most one.

To prove this, we first show that $\text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$ has dimension k . If $w \in V(H)$ and $w \notin Q$, then $w \notin \text{span}(Q)$. Since $\text{span}(Q)$ has dimension k , $\text{span}(Q \cup \{w\})$ has dimension $k + 1$. Hence $\text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$ has dimension k . If $w = v_i$, then $\text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\}) = \text{span}(Q)$, and hence $\text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$ has dimension k . Let $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\text{span}(Q_i \setminus \{z\})$. Since $\text{span}(Q_i \setminus \{z\})$ is nondegenerate, the orthogonal complement of $\text{span}(Q_i \setminus \{z\})$ in $\text{span}(Q)$ is nondegenerate. Hence there exists a nonzero vector u_k such that $u_1, \dots, u_k$ is an orthogonal basis of $\text{span}(Q)$. We are now ready to prove (6). Let $x \in \text{span}(Q)$. There are scalars $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ such that $x = \sum \alpha_i u_i$. Since $x \in \text{span}(Q_i \setminus \{z\})^\perp$, $B(x, u_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$. So $x = \alpha_k u_k$. Hence $\text{span}(Q) \cap \text{span}(Q_i \setminus \{z\})^\perp$ has dimension at most one. By Lemma 4, the dimension of $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$ has the same dimension as $\text{span}(Q) \cap \text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})^\perp = \text{span}(Q) \cap \text{span}(Q_i \setminus \{z\})^\perp \cap \text{span}(w)^\perp$. Hence (6) follows.

Since $L \cap \text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$ is a proper subspace of L , there exists a nonzero polynomial $P_{Q_i, w}^4(x)$ such that $P_{Q_i, w}^4(\vec{z}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$. From $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}((Q_i \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\})$ it follows that $\vec{w} \notin \text{span}(Q_i)$.

Next we show that

- (7) If $|V(H)| < m$, then there exists a nonzero polynomial $P^5(x)$ such that if $P^5(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}(V(H))$.

Suppose $|V(H)| < m$. It is clear that $\text{span}(Q) \subseteq \text{span}(V(H))$. If $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \subseteq \text{span}(V(H))$, then $\mathbb{F}^m = \text{span}(Q) \oplus \text{span}(Q)^\perp \subseteq \text{span}(V(H))$, as $\text{span}(Q)$ is nondegenerate, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\text{span}(Q)^\perp \cap \text{span}(V(H))$ is a proper subspace of $\text{span}(Q)^\perp$. From this it follows that $L \cap \text{span}(V(H))$ is a proper subspace of L . Thus, there exists a nonzero polynomial $P^5(x)$ on L such that if $P^5(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, then $\vec{z} \notin \text{span}(V(H))$.

By Lemma 6, there exists a vector $\vec{z} \in L$ such that $B(\vec{z}, \vec{z}) \neq 0$, $P^1(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, $P_C^2(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, $P_{Q_i, D}^3(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, $P_{Q_i, Q_j}^3(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, $P_C^4(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, $P_{Q_i, w}^4(\vec{z}) \neq 0$, $P^5(\vec{z}) \neq 0$. Thus Conditions 1- 5 hold for H' . By induction the theorem holds for every k -tree. $\square$

Corollary 8. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an infinite field with characteristic unequal to two, and k and m positive integers such that $m \geq k+2$. Let K be a nonsingular symmetric $m \times m$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}$. If G is the complement of a partial k -tree with n vertices, then there exists an $m \times n$ matrix U with $\text{rank}(U) = \min(m, n)$ such that $U^T K U \in S(G; \mathbb{F})$.

Corollary 9. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an infinite field with characteristic unequal to two and k be a positive integer. If $G = (V, E)$ is the complement of partial k -tree, then $\text{mr}(G; \mathbb{F}) \leq k+2$.

In the proof of Theorem 11, we need the following lemma; its proof is standard and skipped.

Lemma 10. Let A be a real symmetric $m \times m$ matrix and let U be a real $m \times n$ matrix with $\text{rank}(U) = m$. If A has p positive and q negative eigenvalues, then $U^T A U$ has p positive and q negative eigenvalues.

Theorem 11. Let G be a graph with n vertices and let k be a positive integer. If $k+2 \leq m \leq n$ and G is the complement of a partial k -tree, then for any two nonnegative integers p and q with $p+q = m$, there exists a matrix in $S(G; \mathbb{R})$ with p positive and q negative eigenvalues.

Proof. Let D be a $m \times m$ diagonal matrix with p and q diagonal entries equal to $+1$ and -1 , respectively. By Corollary 8, there exists an $m \times n$ matrix U with $\text{rank}(U) = m$ such that $A = U^T D U \in S(G)$. By Lemma 10, A has p positive and q negative eigenvalues. $\square$

References

- [1] W. Barrett, H. T. Hall, and R. Loewy. The inverse inertia problems for graphs: Cut vertices, trees, and a counterexample. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 431(8):1147–1191, 2009.
- [2] W. Barrett, H. van der Holst, and R. Loewy. Graphs whose minimal rank is two. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 11:258–280 (electronic), 2004.
- [3] W. Barrett, H. van der Holst, and R. Loewy. Graphs whose minimal rank is two: the finite fields case. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 14:32–42 (electronic), 2005.
- [4] H.L. Bodlaender. A partial k -arboretum of graphs with bounded treewidth. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 209(1-2):1–45, 1998.
- [5] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O’Shea. *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1997.
- [6] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2000.
- [7] S.M. Fallat and L. Hogben. The minimum rank of symmetric matrices described by a graph: A survey. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 426(2-3):558 – 582, 2007.
- [8] AIM Minimum Rank Special Graphs Work Group. Zero forcing sets and the minimum rank of graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 428(7):1628 – 1648, 2008.
- [9] L. Hogben. Orthogonal representations, minimum rank, and graph complements. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 428(11-12):2560 – 2568, 2008.
- [10] C.R. Johnson and A. Leal Duarte. The maximum multiplicity of an eigenvalue in a matrix whose graph is a tree. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 46:139–144, 1999.
- [11] J. Sinkovic and H. van der Holst. The minimum semidefinite rank of the complement of partial k -trees. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 434(6):1468–1474, 2011.